



PIOB's Public Interest issues: IAASB projects

The PIOB's recommendations are based on the proposals discussed by the IAASB as of July 2021.

For further information and details about the IAASB projects, please refer to the IAASB website: <http://www.iaasb.org/consultations-projects>

Update of this document: August 24th, 2021

ONGOING PROJECTS/INITIATIVES

Going Concern Initiative

Explicit reference to Going Concern should be included in the Auditor Report

In light of corporate and audit failures in the past and the additional going concern risk placed on entities due to Covid-19, auditors have an important role to play in this regard to serve the public interest.

The PIOB believes that it would be in the public interest for auditor reports to make explicit reference to going concern. The IAASB should explore how this might be implemented. For example, it might require a conclusion as to whether the going concern assumption applied in the preparation of the financial statements under the relevant financial reporting framework was appropriate.

Acknowledging that this initiative is still at an early stage, based on information gathering activities and stakeholders outreach undertaken, the PIOB encourages the IAASB to consider root-cause analyses of corporate failures relating to going concern. This could help to assess the specific challenges and potential shortcomings in the ISAs that need to be addressed in this regard.

[New] Fraud Initiative

In light of corporate scandals and audit failures in the past and the additional fraud risk placed on entities due to Covid-19, auditors have an important role to play in this regard to serve the public interest.

The PIOB believes that it would be in the public interest to: a) strengthen the auditor's responsibilities within ISA 240 and other related standards in relation to the identification and reporting of fraud; and b) to coordinate with the IESBA on whether changes are needed in the sections of the Code of Ethics on Responding

[New] Fraud Initiative

to Non-compliance with Laws and Regulations. To achieve this, the IAASB should address the concerns of stakeholders about the responsibilities of auditors in relation to fraud, considering the following:

Auditor's obligation to obtain reasonable assurance that financial statements are free from material misstatements due to fraud

ISA 240 should emphasize that the existence of fraud can result in financial statements being misstated. The standard should clearly articulate the auditor's work effort in respect of fraud, to sufficiently address the risk of misstatements and to bring that risk to an acceptably low level. The auditor should obtain reasonable assurance that financial statements are free from material misstatements due to fraud. In this regard, the IAASB should also ensure that the tone of ISA 240 is strengthened so that auditors place the same level of importance on identifying misstatements due to fraud as they do on identifying misstatements due to error. In particular, inherent audit limitations should not be perceived as diminishing an auditor's responsibilities to identify material misstatements due to fraud.

The Fraud initiative should not only focus on ISA 240 but on strengthening requirements that drive significant changes in the attitude and behavior of auditors throughout the audit process, including through the exercise of professional skepticism and professional judgment. The PIOB supports the direction of the IAASB's preliminary considerations to enhance the connection of ISA 240 to the IAASB's other standards and foster an integrated risk-based approach.

Reporting of instances of suspected or potential fraud

Auditors should not only report fraud that they identify, but also provide early warning of suspected fraud or the risk of potential fraud. Requirements for communication with those charged with governance, external authorities and in the auditor's report should ensure appropriate communication of fraud risks, procedures performed and deficiencies identified by the auditor, and whether management has taken appropriate action to address the risks and deficiencies.

Clear identification of challenges and actions that the IAASB needs to address in the Fraud Initiative

The PIOB acknowledges that a significant number of possible actions have been identified by the IAASB's working group to address challenges related to fraud, including completing a root cause analysis. The PIOB believes that more clarity should be provided about the specific challenges that need to be addressed and how doing so would serve the public interest. The results of the root-cause

[New] Fraud Initiative

analysis should be useful in helping to identify such challenges and to prioritize actions.

ISA 600 Group Audits

This project originated at the request of different stakeholders, including regulators, who found the group audits needed to be strengthened. The PIOB acknowledges the public interest issues identified by the IAASB in revising ISA 600¹, as well as the enhancements introduced in the ED, including the issues previously raised by the PIOB, such as the importance of the communication and cooperation between the group auditor and the component auditors.

The PIOB believes that, before the standard is finalized, in order to strengthen group audits, particular attention should be paid to the following public interest issues:

Scope and applicability of the standard

The scope of the standard must be clear and should consider more than just the legal structures when determining whether an audit would be subject to the standard. Significant audit risk can be more than just in the legal structure.

Ultimate responsibility for the group audit

The standard must retain that ultimate responsibility for the group audit is placed on the group auditor.

Effective coordination between the group auditor and the component auditors

The standard must clearly communicate that the group auditor is required to: a) carry out effective coordination with the component auditors; and b) to oversee the work performed by them. To ensure effective coordination and oversight, the standard should establish the minimum requirements on how to do this.

Relevant documentation in the group audit file

The standard must clearly establish the minimum content of the group auditor's file, which must be sufficient to facilitate regulatory assessment. Experience has shown that it can be difficult to assess after an audit failure to what extent the group auditor has overseen the work performed by component auditors and taken appropriate action based upon the evidence provided.

¹ E.g. encouraging quality management at the engagement level; fostering an independent and skeptical mindset of the auditor; reinforcing the communication during the audit between the group engagement team and the component auditors.

Audits of Less Complex Entities (LCEs) – ISA for LCEs

Expectations of a separate ISA for LCEs

The PIOB welcomes the IAASB project to address needs and concerns related to the audits of LCEs. The PIOB acknowledges the IAASB’s efforts to scale down the audit requirements for LCEs, while ensuring that assurance is not weakened in either fact or perception. The PIOB supports the IAASB’s efforts to develop a robust international standard for the audits of LCEs, which would contribute to high quality audits and promote consistency across jurisdictions.

Scope of a separate ISA for LCEs

The scope of the standard should be sufficiently restrictive to limit application to the correct entities (i.e. those that are truly less complex). The PIOB appreciates the difficulty of establishing the categories of entities that should be excluded from the scope, with group audits among them, listed in the “Authority” of the ISA for LCEs.

Importance of outreach

The PIOB welcomes the upcoming consultation and encourages the IAASB to seek responses from a broad and diverse range of relevant stakeholders, including those that do not customarily respond to exposure drafts of the ISAs (e.g. users of the financial statements of LCEs). It is important to convey the message that an LCE audit is of equal quality and level of assurance, not to dilute the value of the ISAs and audits in general.

Resources and timing of the projects on LCEs

As a result of the consultations and outreach undertaken on audits of LCEs, the PIOB acknowledges the projects to develop a separate standard for LCEs and to address complexity, understandability, scalability and proportionality in the ISAs going forward (the “CUSP” project, to develop Drafting Principles and Guidelines).

The PIOB acknowledges the important balance to be struck between the urgency expressed for such a separate standard, and achieving the right quality based on consultation and deliberation. Timely progress remains a critical matter of public interest.

Audit Evidence – ISA 500

Relevance and urgency of the project

The project on Audit Evidence has become even more important as the Covid pandemic has introduced a high level of uncertainty that affects how information is obtained and that impacts accounting estimates and the risk of material misstatements. This requires more work from auditors, as well as exercise of professional skepticism, and reinforces the need for a timely project. The PIOB notes the current timeline of the project and the targeted discussion of a full revised draft of ISA 500 in March 2022, due to the IAASB’s revision of its work plan for 2021.

Objective of the project

The “modernization” of the standard, as one of the objectives of the project, has introduced references to concepts such as “automated tools and techniques”, “blockchain”, information in digital form, etc., which are already extensively used by the audit profession.

The PIOB urges the IAASB to ensure that the revision of ISA 500 goes beyond embedding concepts already used in the audit practice. Recognizing the potential rapid technological evolution, the IAASB should develop an effective principles-based standard to navigate through new technologies and highlight appropriate areas for the exercise of professional skepticism in the evaluation of audit evidence.

Coordination among TFs and SSBs

Audit Evidence is deeply interrelated with Technology and requires close coordination among the Working Groups and Task Forces for both the IAASB and the IESBA, to avoid duplication of efforts and to ensure appropriate sharing of information.

Technology

Importance of Technology as a theme throughout the suite of ISAs

The IAASB should continue to integrate and consider the pervasive impact of technology in the consideration of its standards and the value of non-authoritative guidance as a potential approach for a timely response to public interest needs, where appropriate.



Professional Skepticism

Strengthening Professional Skepticism in the ISAs

At the time of the “Invitation to Comment”, the PIOB recommended that the IAASB pay attention to Professional Skepticism, because it relates to going concern, auditor independence and management bias.

The PIOB welcomes the greater focus being placed on Professional Skepticism across the projects currently or recently developed by the IAASB, such as ISA 540, ISA 315, the three Quality Management Standards. The PIOB also welcomes the emphasis given to Professional Skepticism in the non-authoritative material of the Extended External Reporting (EER) project. Professional Skepticism is key in EER and impediments to its exercise may arise in this type of engagements.

The PIOB highlights the need to consider how auditors should document PS and encourages the IAASB to further strengthen the notion of PS throughout the standards.